National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd

1st Minutes of Meetings of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for First Stage Evaluation, held at NHIDCL New Delhi on 8.05.2018 at 3:00 PM for Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for supervision of:

(i) Up-gradation to 4-lane with Paved Shoulder of Jammu-Akhnoor road section of NH-144A from Canal head (Km 0.800) to Ganesh Vihar

(Km 6.000) of 5.2 Km length

(ii) Up-gradation to 4-lane with Paved Shoulder of Jammu-Akhnoor road section of NH-144A from Ganesh Vihar (Km 6.000) to Hanuman Chowk (Km 30.000) of 24 Km length in the state of Jammu and Kashmir to be executed on EPC basis.

(iii) Widening and Up-gradation to 2 lane with paved shoulder configuration and geometric improvement from km 0.000 to km 16.990 on Chenani - Sudhmahadev section of NH-244 in the state of

Jammu and Kashmir to be executed on EPC basis

Having opened the Technical bids on 02.05.2018, the Committee (ETEC) met on 08.05.2018 to undertake the First Stage of Evaluation.

2. The Committee noted in the first instance that the evaluation done on the INFRACON Portal and the evaluation report thereof disqualifies the two bidders out of the five number bidders based on the uploaded data. The ETEC undertook the further verification of the INFRACON results and observed the following in respect of each proposal:

2.1 M/s REDECON (INDIA) Pvt. Ltd in association with LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

It was observed by the ETEC that the firm has failed to qualify in terms of the Average Annual Turnover required as per RFP. ETEC also noted that the firm in Hard Copy submission has submitted the provisional certificate for turnover for the year 2016-17 from the Chartered accountant. However, the same has not been claimed on the INFRACON Portal. The Committee noted that only the information extracted from the INFRCON Portal is to be used for the evaluation purpose. Since, the firm has failed to qualify in terms of required turnover, the other requisite experience as calculated by the INFRACON for experience in DPR Preparation, Construction Supervision and having a single project of specified length were not required to be checked/verified.

Rey

2 Ohlv

Bylic

2.2 M/s ARKITECHNO Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd.

ETEC observed that the total no of projects uploaded on the INFRACON Portal by the firm are 45 nos. The 1st Stage evaluation carried out through the INFRACON Portal declared the firm ineligible on account of insufficient experience in the construction supervision (Annexure-I). However, the firm had required turnover. It was observed by the Committee during the verification of the results obtained through INFRACON that the INFRACON had considered 20 projects under the experience of DPR preparation and one project under supervision experience. The projects those were not considered either were not executed during the last seven year or the start and end dates were erroneously mentioned in the input sheets or were not eligible projects. On verification, the Committee observed that in some projects, no completion certificates were furnished or the work was carried out for private firms. Accordingly, the experience in preparation of DPR, Construction Supervision was revised as per the actual experience with completion certificates. INFRACON had considered only one project towards construction supervision as well as for single project of specified length which was done for a Concessionaire and no completion certificate was found uploaded on the Portal. The same was therefore not considered. However, the Committee on the further verification found that the projects at Sl No 35, 40 and 44 in the moved data were Construction Supervision projects. However, the same were not considered by the INFRACON due to erroneous entry regarding the completion dates on the corresponding input sheets. Thus, the above projects were considered and modified length under supervision becomes 58 km and under single project, the same is 25.75 km. Detail is at Annexure-II. However, the firm fails to qualify for the next stage of the bidding process.

2.3 M/s RODIC Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

On the similar lines, the proposal was examined vis-à-vis the INFRACON Portal results. The details are placed at **Annexure-I and II** respectively. The firm qualifies for the next stage of the bidding process.

2.4 M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. in association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd.

On the similar lines, the proposal was examined vis-à-vis the INFRACON Portal results. The details are placed at **Annexure-I and II** respectively. The firm qualifies for the next stage of the bidding process.

2.5 M/s YONGMA ENGINEERING CO.LTD in association with Mangalam Associates:

On the similar lines, the proposal was examined vis-à-vis the INFRACON Portal results. The details are placed at **Annexure-I and II** respectively. The firm qualifies for the next stage of the bidding process.

3. The Committee after due deliberation recommended that the following firms are eligible/not eligible for the second stage of bid evaluation:

S. No.	Name of the Applicants	Status of Eligibility
1.	M/s YONGMA ENGINEERING CO.LTD in association with Mangalam Associates	Eligible
2.	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. in association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd.	Eligible
3.	M/s RODIC Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	Eligible
4.	M/s REDECON (INDIA) Pvt. Ltd in association with LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	Not Eligible
5	M/s ARKITECHNO Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Not Eligible

4. The Committee further recommended that the results of Proof of Eligibility as per para 3 be made available on the website giving opportunity to the bidders to respond within 3 working days with the approval of the Competent Authority.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.

Pradeep Sharma, GM (T)

(Member Secretary)

Rajiv Sood, GM (Tech.) (Member) Uttam Chatterjee, DGM(Fin.) (Member)

Sanjeev Malik, ED-III (Convenor) *40